Dear Reader: Thank you for downloading this free mini book sans cover from Brian W. Kelly's finished book catalog. I dusted off this mini book about Marxism that is titled: If America Is To Die—The Prescription Is Progressivism, Liberalism & Marxism

<u>https://letsgopublish.com/political/marxism.pdf</u> in September 2024. This is an eye-opening mini-book. It tells how leftists would like to remake American.

Most of my full-length books had previously been published on Amazon.

Click below if you would like to donate to help the free book cause: <u>https://www.letsgopublish.com/books/donate.pdf</u>

Enjoy!

If America Is To Die—The Prescription Is Progressivism, Liberalism & Marxism

Let's get right down to it, by asking and then answering the most simple of questions: "What is a progressive liberal Marxist?" What answers should we expect? If you are a certified progressive liberal Marxist, among other things, you believe that the government should collect taxes from your neighbors to pay for the living expenses of the indigent, and the helpless, et. al. .

Americans should be worried about the et. al.

Conservatives agree that there should be a safety net for the indigent and the helpless and temporarily for those on tough times. However, conservatives, looking at the long term, are not interested in helping so much that it makes anybody helpless.

If you are a certified progressive liberal Marxist, you also believe that the government should be a big brother to everybody—not just the indigent and the helpless. You really don't care about being a big brother yourself though. You love the god-like qualities of government and you have learned that you need no God—just government. Since you are not personally asked to give to charity, to visit the needy or sick, or to put into the weekly collection basket, and your pay has no deductions to directly help others, you feel comfortable insisting that your neighbor should pay more in taxes.

You unexplainably find yourself feeling the best and smiling the most when you see recipients of largesse becoming totally dependent on government. The notion of a permanent underclass is enthralling and it is the dream ending to a perfect day.

Conservatives are not like you and other progressive liberal Marxists. We believe that most dollars for redistribution are confiscated by you and your ilk in the name of government for political purposes--not to help the helpless or the indigent.

This is just as wrong as taking cash from a poor box in a church. There is no difference in such taking than if the cash were grabbed during a holdup or taken from a wallet on a dresser when nobody was looking.

Who do the progressive liberal Marxists think they are to demand that everybody's earnings be redistributed to everybody else? Income inequality is the natural state of life whereas; the logical conclusion of income redistribution is communism. That is the end game for the progressive liberal Marxist. Who is it whose income we think we should match, and why?

Conservatives believe that we should all donate substantial portions of our incomes to charity to help the helpless and the indigent. Charity from the heart, given directly is far more efficient than government confiscating tax dollars and then redistributing them. Remember, it was government that funded the bridge to nowhere, and they were serious about it. If you want something to be fair and just, you don't ask crooked

politicians to get involved. It would take awhile for any of us to come up with one thing that government has done right.

Charities such as the Salvation Army do a much better job of helping the poor than the government. They have less than a 5% overhead rate for administration, while the federal government is over 70% waste in its mandatory redistribution activities. The Salvation Army has a lot of volunteers and its dollars do not come from stealing taxpayer money.

One of the most notable heads of the Salvation Army happens to be Todd Bassett. He worked full time for minimal wages with his wife. What is remarkable for any charitable organization is that together, Salvation Army Commissioners W. Todd Bassett and his wife Carol A. Bassett, made less than the typical salary of one redistributive bureaucrat.

Government handout specialists earn \$100,000 and even greater in salaries to provide less than 30% of the total budget to the indigent and helpless, and I am ashamed to say, the unworthy are included in this number. Yes, a whopping 70% of the bloated budget, which far exceeds \$1 trillion per year for dependant Americans, goes to overpaid bureaucrats. Analysts who have examined the figures suggest that US taxpayers could simply send everybody in former presidential candidate Romney's 47% line a check for \$22,000 or more each year, rather than pay so many bureaucrats to determine the right amount?

Don't you want to know why there are more than 120 different bureaucracies in the federal government fighting for your tax dollars so they can redistribute the proceeds to overlapping constituencies? "Government means waste" as sure as the notion of "Got Milk" was once a great advertising slogan.

Government waste in redistribution

In Pennsylvania a particular past year not too long ago, a single mother of two called in to a radio program. She wanted to praise the government for taking care of her needs. With free housing, cash payments, aid to dependent children, and a gaggle of other benefit programs, plus the unrestricted proceeds of her \$18,000 a year job, she was in the cat bird's seat. She was receiving just over \$100,000 from all sources in total. At least she was not an ingrate! The secret to her success was having dependent children.

All 122 federal agencies provide some benefit to offer single moms. While working families with one child wonder if they can ever afford to have a second child, this young lady was being paid by taxes collected from these same working families so that she could have as many children as she would like. No prob!

Each new child continues to bring an additional bounty from Uncle Sam to an unwed mother. It makes no sense. Though they love killing babies in the womb, along with those babies who sneak out unscathed during botched abortions; progressive liberal Marxists absurdly love paying for out of wedlock live babies. Abortion is their first strategy. If that does not work then they figure it is OK if the rest of America chips in to help raise the child. Every agency in this upside down world of ours, lines up to give single moms and their babies who survive, something redistributed from taxpayers. The more the better!

Among all charities that might be alternatives to the massive US government, conservatives find the Salvation Army to continue to be the most worthwhile distributor of charitable donations. They do not keep the proceeds for themselves.

Conservatives get a bad rap from the ideology driven corrupt press for their propensity to help those in need. We are all painted as hard-hearted. It is a big lie propagated by progressive, liberal Marxists. However, the press today is the master of the lie and they are in bed with progressive liberal Marxists. There is no truth when their union speaks to America.

You may recall that at the Democratic National Convention not too long ago in 2012, the progressives lectured that we Americans are all responsible for one another. They then began lying. They added that Republicans don't want to help the poor, the sick, and the helpless. Unfortunately, the honest act checkers when they can be found find this totally untrue, but after the convention, the progressives did not offer a retraction.

The actual money donations made by American conservatives are substantially higher and the percentages are substantially higher than those of progressives. Conservatives also donated more of their time and they donated far more blood than progressives. Just because progressives have demonized the word Republican and the word conservative, does not mean that they have learned that lying is not nice.

Conservatives do not see government as a way to increase the benefit to those in need. In fact, the facts will show just the opposite. Using government as the grand redistributor of tax dollars assures the helpless and the indigent of receiving less. Government is both inefficient in its distribution and it is insincere in its concern for those who really need aid. You see, they know that in most cases, the bulk of recipients, which they put on their lists, are neither indigent nor helpless. But, stealing from the working people assures the recipients' votes for the Democratic Party.

Unemployment compensation is a different story. It is a very necessary safety net feature. It becomes counterproductive sometime before six months of idle time. Every liberal and every conservative is happy to have the employer provided unemployment compensation fund temporarily help any American, while they are out of work.

The big difference between unemployment compensation and welfare is that the employees, who are temporarily out of work, are not looking for permanent welfare. They plan to work again, and they do. Additionally, they pay into the system every pay period. In most cases, the cost is totally born by their employers. Employees in this case, are spared contributions. However, three states, Alaska, New Jersey and

Pennsylvania require employees to contribute a share of their paychecks to state unemployment funds.

Unlike Welfare, Unemployment benefits are a type of insurance policy against job loss. Employees are entitled to unemployment benefits when they lose their jobs since in one way or another, they paid for the insurance.

Conservatives reject the notion that high paid government employees are necessary to help the indigent and the helpless. In fact, most of us think that with over 3 million and growing, there are far too many employees working for the government. Big government does not work.

Paying everybody regardless of their output is a communist thought and it is anathema to conservative thinking. Churches have a moral problem with this also, for it saps the dignity of the receiver and it tends to replace God with the government. English writer G.K. Chesterton said, "Once abolish the God, and the government becomes the God." This is the very creed of the progressive movement.

Progressive liberal Marxists want to take everybody's taxpayer dollars and give them to anybody, regardless of need, as long as they get credit in the voting booth. As a side benefit, payments to the non-indigent and the non-helpless over the long haul create a dependency on big government and It also leads to despair ad helplessness. The progressive liberal Marxist philosophy encourages people to take rather than give.

So far, only Democrats and RINO Republicans back their thinking. Using taxpayer dollars for strengthening a political position is a lot different than helping the indigent and the helpless. It is expectedly beneficial for crooked politicians getting into power, retaining power, and perhaps even being able to discard those pesky term limit rules. It is the progressive way to achieving continual power. We must stop it.

Besides the indigent and the helpless, progressive liberal Marxists include in their list of approved government welfare recipients the slothful, the loafers, the bums, the friends of politicians, and those once with jobs who now get Obamacare subsidies. This last group has been lauded by Nancy Pelosi and other Democratic legislators for finally freeing these employees formerly trapped in jobs with long hours. By reducing their work hours, and taking subsidies, they are now empowered to pursue new vocations as artists or poets on the public dole. Conservatives part company with progressives on this point. Encouraging unearned handouts is a crime against all Americans. Yet progressive liberal Marxists find nothing wrong.

Just about everybody, who wants to have a free loaf on the government's bread with the product coming out fresh with a lot of crust, is thus inspired by progressive liberal Marxists to register as Democrats. I am a Democrat but I am very conservative and I vote for the goodness of the person, not the party. Ironically, most of the run of the mill Democrats that I know do not even understand that their party is for progressive liberal

Marxism. They just go along because somebody told them twenty years ago that Republicans are bad.

They are the typical low information American lemmings. They are why our America is in trouble. Progressive liberal Marxists have decided to use their innate trust and gullibility to trap them into voting against their self interests for all eternity. Of course a few checks also help. Unfortunately, these easily duped doomed conformists have no clue about our democracy and our republican form of government--the ruling precepts of America. They take for granted their freedoms and liberties as if they are permanent.

Progressivism, liberalism, and Marxism, amount to socialism. They all lead to Communism. These philosophies are the antitheses of liberty and freedom for all especially for Americans. Unfortunately, thanks to our corrupt and unprincipled socialist press, the US is now set up to absorb more propaganda than fact. Even the isms are acceptable ideas today. The press is completely against conservativism, democracy, republicanism, and especially Republicans. So are the Hollywood elite. It is tough to find something on TV or in the movies that does not push the dead-end progressive agenda.

In checking out my premises, I discovered that many of the Hollywood elite from producers to writers to actors carry their contempt for conservatives as a badge of honor. Perhaps that is why nobody ever accused the Hollywood elite, of actually being able to be airline pilots, nuclear engineers, or DNA scientists. Yet, as Ringo Starr would say—they "play the parts so well... in the movies." The corrupt press, the very influential Hollywood elite, and the Democratic Party, are all left leaning Marxists, socialists, and communists. Meanwhile, the gullible low information crowd thinks that nothing has changed. They worship these people and refuse to digest the truth.

Unfortunately, this generation of Americans does not understand the threats to American freedom that come from not knowing and not caring. The corrupt press that backs progressive liberal Marxists 100% does not tell the truth. The progressives in control pretend that they are the old Democratic Party of our parents. Yet, they are nothing like the party-of-the-people. Progressives do not like America and want to fundamentally change it into a society that Vladimir Putin would be happy to lead.

Marx and Engels are the guys, who in history really got into the notions that the Democrats are pushing hard for today. Marx hated capitalism, the theory that there can be an American dream because people of differing abilities can all go for the gold ring. Of course in capitalism, only the ones who catch the ring get the gold. Marx based socialism preaches that the gold should be split up among all people in equal shares.

Marx argued that capitalism would inevitably produce internal tensions between the haves and have-nots. He felt this would lead to its destruction. He hated capitalism at a high level; yet, Karl Marx failed to fully and logically explain how his notion of socialism and communism could ever achieve its theoretically harmonious goals.

In fact, a historical reality check shows that Marx's goals for a Utopian communistic society have never been attained in any civilization—especially those in which his theories were fully exercised. Wherever Marxism was attempted, millions of free thinkers were either killed or imprisoned, by the Communist leaders. Sometimes the peasants got to move into the homes of the aristocracy (rich), but most of the other times, the peasants were left to fight among themselves for very few spoils.

Communism is such a bad idea, that even the Chinese gave it up. As all capitalists competing against the Chinese know well, they have become the most successful capitalists of all time even without having a democratically elected government.

Progressive liberal Marxists in the US see the "grass is greener" on their side v. capitalism. Yet, they would be the first to be disillusioned and depressed if the USA were to go communist and they were not selected as the leaders.

The class warfare of the Russian Revolution created a lot of hatred and lots of people were killed. The government leaders became rich and aristocratic in all but name when the revolutions eliminated the formerly rich and it confiscated their lands and properties.

The proletariat / peasants who made all such upheavals possible, always drew the short straw. Even after the revolution, they were nothings. Socialism did not erase the "more equal" advantage of the leaders. Just like the low-information voters, they were duped into supporting the movement. If they complained when things did not work out in the end, they disappeared. At the turn of the twentieth century, the "people's" government in Russia subjected the proletariat to lots more than that for which they had signed up.

By decree, their imperial leader, Vladimir Lenin, completely separated church and state. He divvied up the lands owned by churches and other groups as well as the holdings of aristocrats. He got his first before anybody else. His Communists removed judges and replaced them with loyal members of the local soviets. Opposition parties were simply liquidated.

Government mobilized the poorer peasants against the kulaks (wealthy peasants). Struggle was needed while power was consolidated. Bitter class hatred resulted in the villages and it stimulated a civil war in the countryside. Nobody seemed to be having fun. Nobody eventually won. Everybody but the leaders was impoverished and many died. This is what today's progressive liberal Marxists would like for America.

To protect the gains of the revolution, the big leader, Vladimir Lenin, created the CHEKA "Russian Extraordinary Commission for Fighting Counter-Revolution and Sabotage." They became the political secret police. They were hated and feared by almost everyone in Russia. Can this happen in America? There is a vehicle in place already that could be fine tuned to carry off this mission and reports are they are buying ammunition by the truckload. We know them as the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Like CHEKA for Russians, it has a nice patriotic ring to it.

The DHS over the last couple of years has purchased several billion rounds of ammo, making some folks think that they must be planning some civil unrest or planning for some civil unrest, or something like that. The speculation is that DHS is buying ammo to keep it from civilians by making it more expensive. I have no idea but it is unsettling.

This is better than equipping a new DHS militia to fire on citizens in a government coup. Homeland Security, with a reported 70,000 officers and agents, with one-time allegiance to President Obama and now President Biden, is the federal government's largest law enforcement branch. If mobilized, could these government loyalists defeat the American Army, which has been mostly deployed overseas? Of course, these are just idle thoughts. I think even Democrats do not want this, but I am not quite so sure about progressive liberal Marxists.

Our representatives should be able to head such crap off at the pass. I finally figured out why they do not follow the wishes of the electorate. We have elected representatives who have no clue about the history of the US. They think that they have won popularity contests and they believe that we want them to keep winning the next contest and the next. Because winning these popularity contests is so important, they think we think that it is OK if they choose not to represent US! Something big went wrong in our 200 + years of Democracy. But, it explains a lot.

The CHEKA, not to be confused with DHS, introduced the novel idea of killing people not because of what they had done, but because of who they were or who they knew. Thousands of Russians simply disappeared. Lenin felt he had to use the state police to suppress all opposition. The logical end point for progressive liberal Marxists would be to become the leaders of the US with a despotic government. Biden was ready to give them their opportunity. Kamala plans to outdo Biden if Trump cannot stop her. Somehow as most Americans know, Obama is already enjoying his de facto third or fourth term with no excuses.

As one historian who examined the Russian Revolution situation remarked, "the dictatorship of the proletariat gave way to the dictatorship of repression." Do you think that capitalism hating progressive liberal Marxists have such wonders planned for America? Does Kamala even know?

Trotsky, Lenin's war commissar, finally realized that the Russian proletariat was actually opposed to the dictatorship of the proletariat. And so, Russia soon became the dictatorship of Lenin, and then Stalin, and then Malenkov, Krushchev, Brezhnev, Andropov, Chernenko, and then Gorbachev and now Russia with Putin when the Soviet State was eliminated. In all Soviet regimes, the leaders prospered and the people suffered. Millions were imprisoned, killed or simply starved to death. Eventually the people were pleased just to be permitted to stay alive. Once the gullible are convinced to keep voting the socialist way, can any of this be heading our way?

Other than Gorbachev, who oversaw the dismantling of the Soviet Union, while Boris Yeltsin provided his conscience, nobody on this long list of Russian Leaders

championed the peasants, (aka the proletariat). Putin is a dictator with a Communist façade. Yet, it was the peasants who helped them through the revolution to victory over their opposition. Afterwards, when the bounty of the revolution was small, peasants were trained to expect nothing. Those who learned to understand and accept that, lived.

Students of communism know that the final stage of communism, the essence of communism, is that all the people are deemed to be equal and they live in a "Kumbaya" Utopian harmony. In this stage, there is no hierarchical government with a supreme leader. In fact, there is no government at all. This is Marx's vision.

People with different ideals and ideas magically become capable of working and living without conflict. Theoretically in this stage, since there is no private property—the people possess everything—and so the state is not needed. So, it too is summarily eliminated along with the state workers who become part of the commune in equal status to those whom they once were the overlords. Said a bit differently, the society evolves with no social classes and so there is no cream of the crop or bottom of the barrel. Everybody is 100% equal. Well, if Marx had his way, we could say, "Exactly"

Even Karl Marx recognized that the nature of man would have to change for this to be a reality at any time or any place. Yet, he hoped kinder and more benevolent people would appear to make it possible. Unfortunately for Marx's theory, in all of the attempts at communism (small C), the last stage was never reached. No supreme leader or important party members in any Communist country have ever been willing to give up their power once it was heirs. Communism (small c) is thus the last stage of an unachievable nirvana

Ironically, many of the proletariat who took the promises of the Russian Revolution as truth, or who felt that freedom of speech was for real, found themselves thrown into the prisons known as the gulags. Every chance I get, I tell anybody who will listen that these loyal Russians were brainwashed just as the low information voters for the progressive liberal Marxists in this country are already brainwashed.

If the states of the US would only put these people through anti-brainwashing regimens, all the people would gain, and they would be free. Unfortunately, many of our leaders in state governments are also brainwashed in progressivism. Terms like "body snatchers" and Stepford wives," seem more relevant today than fiction. I for one wish Rod Serling were here to explain this all to US.

It is still tough for me to believe that when Joseph Stalin died, the people cried real tears of sadness. They were concerned that nobody would ever free them. "Now that Joseph is dead, who will free us?" Yet, he was the man who had consigned these poor people to the gulags. What a shame that they had been duped to believe that Stalin was a good guy. Have any Americans been duped into believing that Obama and/or Biden and/or Harris are "good guys?"

Karl Marx was not a government official. He was simply a dreamer whose dream was very contagious. Progressive liberal Marxists in our country today, operating within the Democratic Party, have the same dream. Such dreams always end in a nightmare. Marx believed as many of the progressive liberal Marxist statists in America do today that a progressive form of socialism was a natural to replace capitalism. He dreamed that it would ultimately lead to a stateless, classless society. He was referring of course to small "c" communism. Millions of people were killed in its name. Despite all this sacrifice, Marx's dream never was fulfilled. Nor can it ever be fulfilled because it is illogical.

Karl Marx was very wrong, and his legacy proves it. Some people never learn. Despite its failures everywhere that it has been tried, and despite the massive carnage that always came in its wake, American progressive liberal Marxists continue in attempts to resurrect Marx's theories and convince the gullible that they know it all. They hope to be the leaders when progressivism takes over the US. Thus, it would be they, the progressive elite, who get to inherit the big houses and the huge western ranches when the revolution comes.

Before it is too late, we must throw out the progressive liberal Marxists from our government immediately. We cannot give them time to take over completely, for we will regret our inaction. If you have no courage today, perhaps you will tomorrow.

Perhaps tomorrow or some day in the near future, when they line US all up as dissidents worthy of execution by firing squad—maybe then, when it is too late, we will all choose to be brave if only we could. Would we not then wish we had the right to bear arms? In a world of bad guys, only the willing and the strong survive.

Ben Franklin, who risked his life for America said: "Those who desire to give up freedom in order to gain security will not have, nor do they deserve, either one."

As a last thought, consider the following:

Before the invasion of the Ukraine, American progressive liberal Marxists were openly admiring how efficiently Vladimir Putin controls Russian thought and they hoped for the same for America. They have these admiration qualities quietly on hold for the time being. Let's vow to keep them on hold permanently!